UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,790	05/26/2006	Karl Rittner	HM-719PCT 7123	
40570 7590 05/21/2007 FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER 317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910 NEW YORK, NY 10017			EXAMINER	
			SUHOL, DMITRY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

6	١.
)	<i>₹₽</i>
1	λ
,	U

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/580,790	RITTNER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dmitry Suhol	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
'=	,					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 6</u> is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers	·				
9)□ :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		7.64.57.67.15.11.17.7.6.7.152.			
		priority under 35 II S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						

Application/Control Number: 10/580,790

Art Unit: 3725

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

The current statement acknowledging the duty to disclose uses improper language "material to the examination" rather the required language of "material to patentability" and refers to CFR Section 1.56(a) rather than 1.56 as required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-3, 5, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 2-3, 5, 7, there is no antecedent basis for "the region".

Regarding claims 8-9, there is no antecedent basis for "the one or more descaling systems".

Application/Control Number: 10/580,790

Art Unit: 3725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fasoli et al '678. Fasoli discloses an installation and method where a furnace is provided between a casting machine and a rolling train in order to bring a slab to a desired temperature (col. 1, lines 12-17) such that descaling of the metal strip is conducted during its residence time in the furnace (through descalers (22a-22c). The limitation of a moving location for the descaling operation is read onto the different positioning of descalers (22a-22c), in other words the descaling is being carried in a moving location since the location varies/moves with each on the descalers. Limitations of claim 2 are read onto descaling operation carried out at descaler (22b) since it is in the middle of zone defined by (10b, 10c).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,790 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fasoli et al '678 in view of Coassin et al '165. Coassin is relied upon to teach that it is known to provide a continuous casting installation as the one in which the furnace of Fasoli is usable with a descaler upstream of a tunnel furnace (descalers 215 and 115) for the purpose of increasing the descaling efficiencies. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the claimed invention, to have included a descaler upstream of the furnace in the installation of Fasoli for the purpose of increasing descaling efficiencies.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 6 are allowed.

Claims 5 and 7-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: a descaling system located in a furnace which is connected with moving devices to allow the system to move in the direction of conveyance is not disclosed or taught in the prior art.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Suhol whose telephone number is 571-272-4430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dmitry Suhol
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3725